

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                        | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| 10/727,285               | 12/03/2003          | Christian Biewer     | Christian Biewer 60,130-1938;02MRA0550/055 |                  |  |  |
| 26096 7                  | 7590 12/23/2004     |                      | EXAMINER                                   |                  |  |  |
| •                        | GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. | JOHNSON, BLAIR M     |  |                  |  |  |
| 400 WEST MA<br>SUITE 350 | APLE ROAD           | ART UNIT ,           | PAPER NUMBER                               |                  |  |  |
| BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009     |                     |                      | 3634                                       |                  |  |  |
|                          |                     |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 12/22/2004                   |                  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   |   |   |                   | /_       |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------|----------|--|--|--|
|   |  | Арр   | olication No.   | Applicant(s)  |                   | 7        |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary                         |  | 10/   | 727,285   | BIEWER, CHRIS   | BIEWER, CHRISTIAN |          |  |  |  |
|   |  | Exa   | miner   | Art Unit  |                   | <b>-</b> |  |  |  |
| _   |  |   | r M. Johnson_   | 3634  |                   | _        |  |  |  |
| Period fo                                     | The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply  | ation appears   | on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence a  | address -         |          |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). Il ication. lays, a reply within ory period will apply l, by statute, cause | in no event, however, may a rep<br>the statutory minimum of thirty (<br>y and will expire SIX (6) MONTH<br>the application to become ABAN | ly be timely filed<br>(30) days will be considered tim<br>IS from the mailing date of this<br>NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                   | ation.   |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed   | on .  |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| ′=  |  |   | n is non-final.   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| Disposit                                      | ion of Claims  |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)⊠                             | Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the app<br>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are<br>Claim(s) is/are allowed.<br>Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10,12,13,15 and 16</u> is.<br>Claim(s) <u>6,9,11,14 and 17</u> is/are object<br>Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | withdrawn fro<br>/are rejected.<br>ted to.  |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| Applicati                                     | ion Papers   |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the E  | xaminer.  |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection   |   | *   | ` '   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the<br>The oath or declaration is objected to by  |   |   | · •   |                   | • •      |  |  |  |
| Priority ι                                    | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 12)□<br>a)[                                   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for | cuments have<br>cuments have<br>the priority do<br>I Bureau (PC                                     | e been received. e been received in Apportunents have been re T Rule 17.2(a)).  | olication No eceived in this Nationa  | al Stage          |          |  |  |  |
| Attachmen                                     |  |   | _   |   |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 1) Notic                                      | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-   | -048)   | 4) Interview Sun  | nmary (PTO-413)<br>Mail Date  |                   |          |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Inforr                                   | e of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/16/04</u> .   |   | ` ` ` `   | rmal Patent Application (P7   | ГО-152)           |          |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/727,285 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the blinds having equal widths and being offset and a housing that permits the roller blinds to extend in different planes must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 1,4,5,10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly

anticipated by German patent '779.

See first 76 and second 78 roller blinds, coiling body 92, with one edge of one

roller blind being axially offset from the opposite edge of the other roller blind. See also

guides 84 and the housing being the vehicle itself.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Pinkalla et al.

See one (rear) roller blind made of panels 34a and one panel 34b, and the other

(front) roller blind made of two panels 34b, and/or 34c, with the rear panel being wider

than the front panel and they have offset edges. See guides 60. Regarding claim 3, one

roller blind consists of one panel 34b (rear) while the other roller blind consists of one

panel 34b (front).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German '779 in view of German '919.

Providing edge "bands" to maintain the edges of a roller blind in guides is well known, as illustrated by '919. It would have been obvious to modify '779 to have such edge bands so as to maintain the blind in the track.

Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German '779 in view of Jeuffray et al.

Providing a housing for the roller blinds is well known, if not inherent in the '779 reference. Jeuffray et al discloses such a housing 1. It would have been obvious to modify '779 to have such a housing so as obscure and support the roller mechanism.

Claims 10,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinkalla et al in view of Kreautler.

Providing a housing for a roller device is well known, as illustrated by Kreautler. It would have been obvious to provide Pinkalla et al with such a housing so as to protect and hide the roller mechanism.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,9,11,14 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3634

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0526. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703) 308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 12/10/04